

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/831,546	<b>Applicant(s)</b> ERRINGTON, JEFFREY
	<b>Examiner</b> Daniel M Sullivan	<b>Art Unit</b> 1636

**All Participants:**

(1) Daniel M Sullivan.

**Status of Application:** Allowed

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Jila Bakker.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 17 February 2004

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No  
If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Applicant's representative was informed that the abstract is missing from the file. The examiner requested approval to use the abstract from the published international application.*

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

*Anne-Marie Falk*

**ANNE-MARIE FALK, PH.D.**  
**PRIMARY EXAMINER**

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)